CLAIM AMENDMENTS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1

2

3

.1

2

3

Please amend the claims as follows in accordance with the Revised Format of Amendments under 37 C.F.R. § 1.121.

1.(currently amended) A method for the analysis of existing organizational conflict handling procedures and recommending new organizational conflict handling procedures for the resolution of conflicts comprising the steps of: identifying existing organizational conflict handling procedures: a) applying a predetermined conflict resolution analysis template defining a b) preferred path for the resolution of conflicts, for identifying indicators of weakness in conflict handling procedures, to said existing organizational conflict handling procedures; and creating recommendations for new organizational conflict handling procedures C) that adhere to the preferred path. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of requiring the new 2. (original) organizational conflict handling procedures to begin with site based resolution options for each new conflict. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of periodically reviewing 3. (original)

preselected conflict resolution variables according to the template defining the

preferred path and indicating if additional modifications to the new organizational

| conflict handli | ng procedures | are necessary | in ligh | t of | said review | of | the |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|------|-------------|----|-----|
| preselected co | nflict resolution | n variables. | | | | | |

.

1.

- 4. (currently amended) The method of claim 3 wherein the step of reviewing preselected conflict resolution variables includes the step of selecting variables from a group including at least the group consisting of: conflict handling policy, personnel roles and responsibilities for handling conflict, conflict handling procedures documentation, selection of personnel with conflict handling skills, personnel education and training for conflict handling, support for conflict handling initiatives, and evaluation of conflict handling outcomes.
- 5.(original) The method of claim 1 wherein the preferred path further includes the step of examining internal site-based resolution options between parties in conflict, between said parties and others by collaboration, and between said parties by referral to internal higher authority.
- 6.(original) The method of claim 1 wherein the step of identifying indicators of weakness includes the step of identifying: poor complaint handling; poor communication skills; poor negotiation skills; grievance procedures based on higher authority alone; overuse of litigation; adding ADR to a weak procedure; lack of continuity between conflict procedures for employees, customers, and business partners; and inadequate prevention procedures.

7. (original) The method of claim 6 further comprising the step of identifying indirect 1 indicators of weakness in the form of: high litigation expenses; lost/low 2 productivity; bad press; strikes/poor labor relations; lost business; and internal 3 4. disputes over how or when to use ADR. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of requiring each new conflict 1 8.(original) to proceed sequentially through the preferred path of four conflict resolution 2 gates beginning with individual site based initiatives, followed by internally 3 supported negotiations, followed by external mediation, and lastly by referral to 4 5 higher authority. 9.(currently amended) The method of claim 3 wherein the step of periodically reviewing 1 2 preselected conflict resolution variables comprises the step of the evaluation of evaluating: expenses involved, the satisfaction of the parties, the utilization of the new 3 4 conflict handling procedures, and the resolution of the a new conflict. 10.(currently amended) A method for the analysis of existing organizational conflict handling 1 2 procedures and recommending new organizational conflict handling procedures for the 3 resolution of conflicts comprising the steps of: identifying existing organizational conflict handling procedures; 4 a) applying a predetermined conflict resolution template defining a preferred path 5 b) for the resolution of conflicts, for identifying indicators of weakness in conflict 6

7

handling procedures, to said existing organizational conflict handling

| 8 | |
|-----|--|
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11. | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

procedures, wherein the preferred path for conflict resolution includes four conflict resolution gates beginning with individual site based initiatives, followed by internally supported negotiations, followed by external mediation, and lastly by referral to higher authority;

- c) creating recommendations for new organizational conflict handling procedures that adhere to the preferred path; and
- d) periodically reviewing preselected conflict resolution variables according to the template defining the preferred path and indicating if additional modifications to the new conflict handling procedures are necessary wherein the conflict resolution variables are selected from a group including at least the group consisting of: conflict handling policy, personnel roles and responsibilities for handling conflict, conflict handling procedures documentation, selection of personnel with conflict handling skills, personnel education and training for conflict handling, support for conflict handling initiatives, and evaluation of conflict handling outcomes.
- 11.(currently amended) In a computer system with a database, computer readable media linked to the database for providing for the analysis of existing organizational conflict handling procedures and creating new organizational conflict handling procedures for the resolution of organizational conflicts, the computer readable media comprising:
 - instructions for a predetermined conflict resolution template defining a preferred path for the resolution of conflicts, for identifying indicators of weakness in said existing organizational conflict handling procedures;

- b) instructions for examining said indicators of weakness in said existing
 organizational conflict handling procedures identified by said template; and
 instructions for modifying identified weaknesses in said existing organizational
 conflict handling procedures and creating new organizational conflict handling
 procedures that adhere to the preferred path.

 12. (original) The invention of claim 11 further comprising instructions requiring the new
 organizational conflict handling procedures to begin with site based resolution
 - organizational conflict handling procedures to begin with site based resolution options for each new conflict.

- 13.(original) The invention of claim 11 further comprising instructions for periodically reviewing preselected conflict resolution variables according to the template defining the preferred path and indicating if additional modifications to the new organizational conflict handling procedures are necessary.
 - 14. (currently amended)The invention of claim 13 wherein the instructions for periodically reviewing preselected conflict resolution variables further include instructions for selecting conflict resolution variables from a group including at least the group consisting of: conflict handling policy, personnel roles and responsibilities for handling conflict, conflict handling procedures documentation, selection of personnel with conflict handling skills, personnel education and training for conflict handling, support for conflict handling initiatives, and evaluation of conflict handling outcomes.

15. (original) The invention of claim 11 wherein the instructions for a preferred path further comprise instructions for examining internal site-based resolution options between parties in conflict, between said parties and others by collaboration, and between said parties by referral to internal higher authority.

18.(original)

16. (original) The invention of claim 11 wherein the instructions for identifying indicators of weakness includes instructions for identifying: poor complaint handling; poor communication skills; poor negotiation skills; grievance procedures based on higher authority alone; overuse of litigation; adding ADR to a weak procedure; lack of continuity between conflict procedures for employees, customers, and business partners; and inadequate prevention procedures.

17.(original) The invention of claim 16 further comprising instructions for identifying indirect indicators of weakness in the form of: high litigation expenses; lost/low productivity; bad press; strikes/poor labor relations; lost business; and internal disputes over how or when to use ADR.

The invention of claim 11 further comprising instructions for requiring each new conflict to proceed sequentially through the preferred path of four conflict resolution gates beginning with individual site based initiatives; followed by internally supported negotiations, followed by external mediation, and lastly by referral to higher authority.

19. (currently amended)The invention of claim 13 wherein the instructions for periodically reviewing preselected conflict resolution variables further comprise instructions for the evaluation of evaluation of: expenses involved; the satisfaction of the parties; the utilization of the new conflict handling procedures; and the resolution of the a new conflict.